Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000800030022-9, *** | Recultive Pagistry |

61-4410

26 MAY 1961

Mr. William H. Orrick, Jr. Assistant Attorney General Civil Bivision Department of Justice Washington 25, D. C.

Attention: Mr. Donald B. MacGuineas

Reference:

W. Dulles, etc. U.S.D.C., D.C. Civil

Dear Mr. Orrick:

1966

This is in reply to your letter of 27 April 1958 requesting partinent data to enable the Department of Justice to defend the suit

Mr. was employed by the Central Intelligence Agency on in an excepted appointment position as a Grade P-5.

The employment of with the Agency was terminated effective at the close of business on Tab A). At that time, Mr. was in the excepted service, Grade 13. The Director of Central Intelligence directed the termination of the employment of Mr. under the authority granted to him by section 102(c) of the National Security Act of 1947, as emended (61 Stat. 495, 497; 50 U.S.O. 403(s)).

entitled "Separations" is the only Agency regulation relevant to this case (Tab B).

Plaintiff's allegation that he was separated from the Agency contrary to and in violation of the Agency's regulations apparently is the basis for his action. We shall first discuss the authority of the Director of Central Intelligence to terminate the employment of an employee of the Central Intelligence Agency. Section 102(e) of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended (50 U.S.C. 403(c)), reads as follows:

"Notwithstanding the provisions of section 652 of Title 5, or the provisions of any other law, the Director of Central

OGC Has Reviewed

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000800030022-9

STAT

25X1

Intelligence may, in his discretion, terminate the employment of any officer or employee of the Agency whenever he shall deem such termination necessary or advisable in the interests of the United States, but such termination shall not affect the right of such officer or employee to seek or accept employment in any other department or agency of the Government if declared eligible for such employment by the United States Civil Service Commission."

In the only court case where section 102(c) was in issue,	STAT
v. Central Intelligence Agency D.C.D.C.), decided on 20 May 1959, Judge Holtzoff, before granting the Government's motion for summary judgment, commented that "Of course, it all comes down to whether this statutory authority existed. If it did, the Director had a right to discharge this men for any reason, or no reason at all." In his opinion, Judge Holtzoff ruled, that under section 102(c) the Director has "plenary power to discharge any employee at will."	STAT
The authority vested in the Director of Central Intelligence was given by Congress "notwithstanding the provisions of any other law." The only requirement under section 102(c) is a determination by the Director that "the termination is necessary or advisable in the interests of the United States." Such a determination by the Director is final and there is no statutory provision for appeal. The authority of the Director is not limited by or any other Agency regulation.	
	STAT
In connection with the responsibilities under paragraph 6, the subject of	
he was warned of the possible consequences of his shortcomings and substandard performance. The Deputy Director for Intelligence identified as an employee to be considered for separation and	

25X1

STAT

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/02/27: CIA-RDP80B01676R000800030022-9

be Director of Personnel that separated. ST be Director of Personnel reviewed the record sployment and on 5 July 1961 recommended that his employment in the gency be terminated.	ΓΑΤ
On 27 January 1961, the Director of Central Intelligence, after areful deliberation and on the basis of a thorough and impartial eview of all pertinent information regarding the employment of decided that all further consideration of the case should be suspended in the interests of the United States. He then directed that the employment of Mr be terminated as necessary and advisable in the interests on the duited States. Available to the	ΓΑΤ
In view of the above, we recommend as the appropriate action the filing of a motion for summary judgment, citing the authority of the director of Central Intelligence under section 102(c) of the National Security Act, as smonded. We believe there is no question of fact involved in this case. Should you desire an affidavit from the Director of Central Intelligence to support such a motion, we would be happy to draft one and submit it to you. Witnesses who might possibly be used in this case are listed below:	
Special Assistant to the Director of Personnel, Central Intelligence Agency, Washington 25, D.C.	
Personnel, Central Intelligence Agency, Washington 25, D.C.	
Sincerely,	
s/ Lawrence R. Mauston	

Enclosures Tabs A and B

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

Lawrence R. Houston General Counsel

Letter dated 26 May 1961 to William H. Orrick, Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division, Department of Justice, from General Counsel re,

v. Allen W. Dulles, etc. U.S.D.C., D.C. Civil Action No.

Distribution:

0% lec - Addressee

loc - DCI w/o enclosures loc - DDCI w/o enclosures

lee - IG w/o enclosures
lee - DD/S w/o enclosures
lee - Asst to DCI (Col. Grogan) w/o enclosures
les - Director of Personnel w/o enclosures
lee - Director of Security w/o enclosures

lee - Asst Director for Operations w/o enclosures lee - General Counsel w/o enclosures

OGC/MCM: edk (26 May 61)

	1906 CLASSIFICATION 3/02/27: CLASSIFICATION TRAL INTELLIGENCE A	GENCY							
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP									
	ND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE						
DCI									
221 Admin	1 221 Admin								
2 1	2 Da. a A a Colore								
1/a adult									
3 /	7 6 4	- /ve	ps_						
4									
5 TV	esting "	en							
1	mery 61	/							
6 27	Wally of	وأ							
ACTION	DIRECT REPL	PREPARE	· · · · · ·						
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	+ - +	ENDATION						
COMMENT	FILE INFORMATION	RETURN	RF						
CORCORNENCE	INTORMATION	1 Totaliano							
Remarks: 5/26 Called In line = 7 that the d Iron 195		/.							
Laler: 11.	a date								
sowell ch	here to return to	mB	·						

STAT

STAT

FORM NO. 237 Replaces Form 30-4 which may be used.

	ILLEGIB
	ILLEGIB